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INTRODUCTION

The Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery Test Level 2 (Yo-Yo IR2) is one of the most popular tests in soccer and examines the ability to perform repeated high-intensity exercise. Previous studies showed that Yo-Yo IR2 in elite athletes is higher than in non-elite athletes, and the test performance of 16-17-yr-old players was 30% lower than older elite players in the same club (1). The 30-15 Intermittent Fitness Test (30-15IFT) assesses high-intensity intermittent running capacity, and the final speed reached at the end of the test (VIFT) can be used for high-intensity interval training prescription (2). The aim of the present study was to examine the relationship and the ability for both tests to discriminate performance levels.

Methods

Sixty-nine soccer elite players and forty-three sub-elite players participate in the study. The protocols of Yo-Yo IR2 and 30-15IFT test have been detailed previously (1,2). We reported the distance covered during the Yo-Yo IR2 and the maximal speed reached at the 30-15IFT. Heart rate (HR) was continuously measured to determine de HR_max at the end of the tests.

RESULTS

Significantly differences in the HR_max reached at exhaustion between 30-15IFT and Yo-YoIR2 were found in elite and sub-elite U16 players, with higher values obtained during the 30-15IFT. There were no differences in the HR_max reached between teams in other age groups. The HR_max during both tests was significantly lower than the HR_max estimated (220-age). Elite U16 soccer players presented significantly higher VIFT than sub-elite U16 players (20.4±0.6 vs. 18.9±1.3 km.h⁻¹, respectively), while there were no differences between teams in the Yo-Yo IR2 (627.7±135.2 vs. 674.7±164.8 m, respectively). There were small correlations between VIFT and Yo-Yo IR2 (r = 0.26). Elite U19 soccer players reflected significantly higher VIFT and Yo-Yo IR2 than sub-elite U19 players (20.9±1.4 vs. 18.7±1.4 km.h⁻¹ and 1264.6±343.9 vs. 522.2±80.3 m, respectively). There were very-large correlations between VIFT and Yo-Yo IR2 (m) (r = 0.84). Also, Elite senior soccer players showed significantly higher VIFT and Yo-Yo IR2 than sub-elite senior players (21.1±0.8 vs. 19.6±1.1 km.h⁻¹ and 1084.0±150.2 vs. 522.2±106.7 m, respectively). There were very-large correlations between VIFT and Yo-Yo IR2 (m) (r = 0.77).

DISCUSSION

In Senior and U19 both tests were able to discriminate elite and sub-elite soccer players. In U16 players, only 30-15IFT reflected differences between performance levels showing higher HR_max than Yo-Yo IR2, maybe due to the neuromuscular load caused at this age by executing continuous COD at high speed.
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